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Project background 1

- A high intake of especially red and processed meat is associated with increased colorectal cancer risk.

- Meat is a healthy food item, having proteins of high biological value, high content of essential minerals and B-vitamins.

- **Meat contains pro-oxidative iron** but lacks antioxidants.

- Meat products are frequently tainted by microorganisms and therefore nitrite is often added. **Nitrite can however form cancerogenic nitrosamines.**
Many plants are rich in natural antioxidants and some plants also contain significant antimicrobial compounds.

Horticultural waste material could also be used for production and extraction of beneficial food ingredients for use in meat products.
Project research hypotheses

1. Incorporation of complex antioxidant plant extracts in processed meat products will result in healthier products due to decreased level of oxidation in the meat, thus reducing or preventing the inflammation reactions that follows upon consumption of meat products and eventually can result in colon cancer.

2. Plants or extracts from horticultural plant materials and its processing side streams can have unique or synergistic antimicrobial effects in different meat products.
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Project main objectives

1. Collection, preparation, extraction, screening of antioxidative activity/antimicrobial properties, and characterization of horticultural plant material and side streams

2. In vitro screening of antioxidant effects in meat and meat products using relevant methods, including a model with sarcoplasmic proteins

3. Development of functional food ingredients and pilot plant processing methods

4. Development of conceptual innovative meat products

5. Proofing healthier conceptual meat products by animal studies
Example of plant material sampled

Plant material / waste material being sampled

*Aegopodium podagraria* (goutweed)  *Lonicera coerulea var edulis* (blue honeysuckle)
*Allium cepa* (onion)  *Rheum rhaponticum* (rhubarb)
*Allium ursinum* (ramson)  *Ribes nigrum* (black currant)
*Armoracia rusticana* (horseradish)  *Ribes rubrum* (red currant)
*Aronia x prunifolia* (purple chokeberry)  *Rosa rugosa* (Japanese rose)
*Beta vulgaris* (red beet)  *Satureja hortensis* (summer savory)
*Chaenomeles japonica* (Japanese quince)  *Taraxacum officinale* (dandelion)
*Daucus carota* (carrot)  *Urtica dioica* (nettle)
*Fagopyrum esculentum* (buckwheat)  *Vaccinium myrtillus* (bilberry)
*Hippophae rhamnoides* (sea buckthorn)  *Vaccinium vitis-idaea* (lingonberry)
Methods

Extraction
• None, water or 50% ethanol-water
• PHWE

Antioxidative activity (in vitro and in situ)
• DPPH, ABTS radical scavenging
• Inhibition of lipid peroxidation (+ model with sarcoplasmic proteins)
• FRAP
• Total phenols

Antimicrobial activity
• Agar diffusion tests, challenge tests

Chemical characterization
• HPLC methods

Sensory acceptability tests

Animal trials
Antioxidant activity in vitro: effect of plant material, solvent and testsystem

Inhibition of lipid peroxidation, IER (%/(ug dm/mL))

ABTS radical scavenging, TEAC mg dm/mL

1 Sea buckthorn leaf Finland
2 Buckwheat bran
3 Chokeberry
4 Blackcurrant
5 Rose hip
6 Bilberry leaf
7 Lingonberry leaf
8 Sea buckthorn leaf Germany
9 Goutweed
10 Spruce shoot
11 Nettle leaf
12 Dandelion leaf
13 Blackcurrant juice press cake
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Prevention of lipid oxidation by beetroot, onion, olive and sea buckthorn extracts in vitro (model with sarcoplasmic proteins)
Concept: multi-component plant antibacterial preservation ingredients as alternative to nitrite

- Exploit possible additive or synergistic effects of different single compounds/single plants.
- Low concentration of single compounds (plant products) – small effect on taste of each component.
- Aim for high antibacterial effect with little effect on taste.
- Focus on *Listeria monocytogenes* in meat – potential to prolong shelf life without nitrite.
- Test plants single or in mix in different concentrations.
- Use in vitro lab model first, followed by ‘challenge test’ in raw chicken breast meat.
- Aim at a surface applied antibacterial marinade.
Antibacterial activity: Species tested individually at 1-5 % concentration

- Example of test results at 2.5 and 5 % concentrations in BHI model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>MIC-value range (percentage)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer Savory</td>
<td>2.5 - 3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseradish</td>
<td>3 - 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingonberry</td>
<td>1.5 - 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Currant</td>
<td>2 - 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramson</td>
<td>1.5 - 2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhubarb</td>
<td>2 - 5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant species</th>
<th>Concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Concentration (Percentage)</th>
<th>Listeria innocua; Log cfu/ml after 48 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer Savory</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Savory</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhubarb</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhubarb</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramson</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramson</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseradish</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingonberry</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lingonberry</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red currant</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red currant</td>
<td>25000</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Inoculum = 2.3 log cfu/ml
- Independent triplicates and replicates for each independent sample
- CI = complete inhibition

Partial inhibitory response
5% Summer Savory
2.5% Summer Savory
2.5% Rhubarb

Bactericidal
5% Rhubarb
5% and 2.5% Ramson
5% Horseradish
5% and 2.5% Lingonberry
5% and 2.5% Red currant
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# Antibacterial activity: 2- and 3-mixture combinations

## 2-mixture combinations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant product combinations</th>
<th>Total concentration (percentage)</th>
<th><em>Listeria innocua;</em> Log cfu/ml after 48 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhubarb (1%) and Horseradish (1%)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhubarb (1.5%) and Horseradish (0.5%)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhubarb (0.6%) and Horseradish (1%)</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3-mixture combinations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant product combination</th>
<th>Total concentration (percentage)</th>
<th><em>Listeria innocua;</em> Log cfu/ml after 48 hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhubarb (0.5%) / Horseradish (0.5%) / RC (0.5%)</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>No inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhubarb (0.5%) / Horseradish (0.5%) / LB (0.5%)</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>No inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramson (0.5%) / SS (0.5%) / LB (0.5%)</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inoculum = 2 log cfu/mL, independent duplicates
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**Challenge test - Food safety**

**Control shelf life:**
- around 12 days

**Extended shelf life:**
- 18-20 days for lingonberry/horseradish

Inoculum = 1.1 log cfu/g, Independent triplicates, Dotted line is max allowed growth of log 0.5 in challenge test on top of inoculum level

Courtesy by Jensen et al., AU
Challenge test – Microbial food spoilage

Control shelf life:
• around 16 days

Extended shelf life:
• 20 days for red currant/horseradish
• 23 days for lingonberry/horseradish

Inoculum = 1.1 log cfu/g, Independent triplicates
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Antibacterial and antioxidative effects in raw and cooked minced pork meat

**Conclusion:** The most efficient antimicrobials and antioxidants both in raw and cooked minced pork were rhubarb petioles, gallic acid and rutin (and sodium chloride+sodium nitrite).
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Concept: pork sausages

Background
• Sausages were prepared by adding dried bilberry (BBL) or sea buckthorn leaves (SBL) or their extracts (E) to commercial sausage mass of pork prepared without sodium nitrite and ascorbic acid.

Treatments
• BBL 2 %, SBL 1.6 %
• BBLE 0.2 or 1 %, SBLE 0.2 or 1 %

Results
• At 10 days of storage, BBL 2% concentration and BBLE 0.2 % concentration showed significantly lower lipid oxidation level than the commercial sausage mass.
• At 20 days of storage, lipid oxidation in the commercial sausage mass was approx. five fold in comparison to the 10 days storage. For BBL, BBLE and SBLE amended sausages, no increase in lipid oxidation was observed.
• BBL, SBL, BBLE or SBLE did not lower the total aerobic colony counts (PCA, incubation 3 d, 30 ºC) after 24 d of storage at + 6 ºC.
Concept: marinated sliced chicken legs

**Treatments:** Bilberry leaf 4%, sea buckthorn leaf 4%, bilberry leaf extract 0.4% or 2%, sea buckthorn leaf extract 0.4% or 2%, basic marinade (Control) and no marinade.

The test products contained marinade (30%) and chicken slices (70%). At the beginning pH of marinades was around 3.

**Basic marinade**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rapeseed oil</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6% acetic acid</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Marinades:** 4 % seabuckthorn leaf on the left and bilberry leaf 4 %.

Samples were mixed with marinades and stored in plastic bags at 6 °C for 4 d.

**Results:** Bilberry leaf, sea buckthorn leaf and PHW extracts of these prevented effectively lipid oxidation. *Bilberry leaf at 4%* was the most efficient to protect the product from lipid oxidation. No convincing results on microbes during study of storage.
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Sensory evaluation of meat products

• Sensory evaluation of marinated chicken and sausages was conducted by 10 persons.

• Before sensory evaluation the chickens were fried using ActiFry machine.

• Scales were from 0 (weak) to 5 (strong)

Conclusion: As for both meat products, the strong taste and different visual appearance can be a challenge.

Results of chicken evaluation:
- Bilberry leaf 4%
- Seabuckthorn leaf 4%
- Bilberry leaf extract 0.4%
- Bilberry leaf extract 2%
- Seabuckthorn leaf extract 0.4%
- Seabuckthorn leaf extract 2%
- Basic marinade

Courtesy by Mäki et al., LUKE
Ongoing: Mice studies

- Colorectal inflammation is induced in mice by cyclic treatment with dextran sodium sulphate (DSS)

- Mice are fed meatballs, with and without antioxidants

- Analyses (living): Weight, disease index/health assessment

- Analyses (post mortem): Histology, FACS (flow cytometry), Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (T-RFLP) (Analysis of bacterial flora), possibly also antioxidants in porta blood and/or lumen

- Trial has started - results will be available spring 2018
Conclusions

• **PHWE** is a promising, solvent-free method to obtain bioactive antioxidant and antimicrobial extracts

• **Bilberry leaves, sea buckthorn leaves, rhubarb petioles, red beetroot leaves, onion skin, olive oil waste water powder and summer savory** were selected as the most potential raw materials based on their antioxidative and/or antimicrobial properties

• Antioxidative and antimicrobial effects of plant material and extracts have been documented in conceptual products (sausages, chicken meat, minced pork meat, marinades).

• For antimicrobial activity **a combination of plant material** make it possible to have an satisfactory effect at lower concentration (eg. lingongberry and horseradish).

• The strong taste and different visual appearance in the products can be a challenge for consumer acceptance using plant extracts.
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